
TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | | |
|------------|---|-------------|
| | EXECUTIVE SUMMARY | ES-1 |
| 1.0 | OVERVIEW..... | 1-1 |
| 1.1 | PURPOSE OF THE ALTERNATIVES ANALYSIS REPORT | 1-1 |
| 1.2 | WHAT IS COVERED IN THE AA REPORT | 1-1 |
| 1.3 | HOW THESE ALTERNATIVES WERE SELECTED | 1-1 |
| 1.4 | CORRIDOR ALIGNMENT AND SERVICE ALTERNATIVES..... | 1-3 |
| 2.0 | DNA CORRIDOR STUDY PROCESS | 2-1 |
| 2.1 | A CLOSER LOOK AT THE DNA CORRIDOR | 2-1 |
| 2.2 | CONSISTENCY WITH LOCAL, STATE, AND FEDERAL PLANNING PROCESSES | 2-2 |
| 2.2.1 | Alternatives Analysis Process | 2-6 |
| 2.2.2 | Public Involvement as Part of the AA Process | 2-6 |
| 2.2.3 | Screening and Selection Process..... | 2-7 |
| 3.0 | AGENCY AND PUBLIC INVOLVEMENT | 3-1 |
| 3.1 | TECHNICAL AND CITIZENS REVIEW PANELS..... | 3-2 |
| 3.2 | AGENCY COORDINATION | 3-3 |
| 3.3 | ORGANIZATIONS AND STAKEHOLDERS CONSULTED | 3-4 |
| 3.4 | INPUT RECEIVED | 3-4 |
| 3.5 | UPCOMING PUBLIC INVOLVEMENT THROUGH ADOPTION OF A LPA.... | 3-8 |
| 4.0 | PURPOSE AND NEED | 4-1 |
| 4.1 | PROBLEMS AND NEEDS | 4-1 |
| 4.1.1 | Population and Employment Growth | 4-1 |
| 4.1.2 | Development Patterns | 4-2 |
| 4.1.3 | Crossing the American River | 4-5 |
| 4.1.4 | Increased Demand for Transit Service | 4-6 |
| 4.1.5 | Increase in Traffic Congestion..... | 4-7 |
| 4.1.6 | Air Quality | 4-12 |
| 4.1.7 | Increase in Airport Passenger Demand..... | 4-12 |
| 4.2 | PURPOSE OF THE STUDY ALTERNATIVES..... | 4-12 |
| 5.0 | ALTERNATIVES SCREENING | 5-1 |
| 5.1 | DEVELOPMENT OF GOALS AND OBJECTIVES | 5-1 |
| 5.2 | FATAL FLAW ANALYSIS / LONG LIST OF ALTERNATIVES | 5-3 |
| 5.3 | ALTERNATIVES CONSIDERED AND REJECTED | 5-6 |
| 5.4 | ALTERNATIVES CARRIED FORWARD FOR FURTHER REVIEW | 5-9 |
| 5.4.1 | Alternative 1: No-Build | 5-17 |
| 5.4.2 | Alternative 2: Baseline/TSM | 5-18 |
| 5.4.3 | Alternative 3: Truxel LRT | 5-19 |
| 5.4.4 | Alternative 3A: Truxel LRT Starter Line..... | 5-24 |

| | | |
|------------|---|------------|
| 5.4.5 | Alternative 3B: Truxel LRT Minimum Operable Segment (MOS) | 5-26 |
| 5.4.6 | Alternative 4: Truxel BRT | 5-28 |
| 5.4.7 | Alternative 4A: Truxel BRT Starter Line | 5-28 |
| 5.4.8 | Alternative 4B: Truxel BRT Minimum Operable Segment (MOS)..... | 5-30 |
| 5.4.9 | Alternative 5: I-5/Truxel LRT..... | 5-33 |
| 5.4.10 | Alternative 6: I-5/Truxel BRT | 5-33 |
| 5.4.11 | Alternative 7: I-5 LRT..... | 5-37 |
| 5.4.12 | Alternative 8: I-5 BRT | 5-39 |
| 5.5 | SUMMARY OF DESIGN OPTIONS | 5-39 |
| 6.0 | CAPITAL, OPERATING, AND MAINTENANCE COSTS..... | 6-1 |
| 6.1 | CAPITAL COSTS | 6-1 |
| 6.2 | OPERATING AND MAINTENANCE COSTS | 6-2 |
| 6.2.1 | Current RT Operating and Maintenance (O&M) Costs..... | 6-3 |
| 6.2.2 | Projected Operating and Maintenance Costs for DNA Baseline Alternative..... | 6-3 |
| 6.2.3 | Projected Operating and Maintenance Costs for DNA Build Alternatives..... | 6-3 |
| 7.0 | ALTERNATIVES EVALUATION | 7-1 |
| 7.1 | TRANSPORTATION IMPACTS | 7-1 |
| 7.1.1 | Ridership | 7-1 |
| 7.1.1.1 | Changes to Transit Travel Time..... | 7-1 |
| 7.1.1.2 | Changes to Transit Ridership | 7-3 |
| 7.1.1.3 | Changes in Automobile Travel Demand to Downtown Sacramento | 7-6 |
| 7.1.1.4 | Transit Service to the Sacramento International Airport | 7-6 |
| 7.1.2 | Street and Highway Impacts..... | 7-10 |
| 7.1.3 | Parking Impacts..... | 7-17 |
| 7.1.4 | Airport Transit Passenger Ground Access and Associated Ridership Impacts..... | 7-20 |
| 7.2 | ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS | 7-20 |
| 7.2.1 | Environmental Evaluation of Alternatives | 7-20 |
| 7.2.1.1 | Alignment Considerations..... | 7-20 |
| 7.2.1.2 | LRT and BRT Technologies Compared..... | 7-21 |
| 7.2.1.3 | Environmental Differences among the Range of Truxel Alternatives | 7-22 |
| 7.2.1.4 | Effects of the Design Options | 7-23 |
| 7.2.2 | Summary of the Most Significant Impacts | 7-23 |
| 7.2.3 | Summary of Environmentally Significant/Unavoidable Impacts and Insignificant Impacts | 7-25 |
| 7.3 | LAND USE PROJECTIONS AND POTENTIAL FOR SMART GROWTH..... | 7-26 |
| 7.3.1 | Potential for Smart Growth | 7-26 |

| | | |
|-------------|---|-------------|
| 7.4 | COST-EFFECTIVENESS..... | 7-30 |
| 7.5 | FINANCIAL FEASIBILITY | 7-31 |
| 7.5.1 | Funding Sources | 7-31 |
| 7.5.2 | Funding Needs | 7-31 |
| 7.5.3 | Funding Capability | 7-32 |
| 7.5.4 | Importance of Renewing Measure A | 7-34 |
| 7.6 | COMMUNITY AND POLITICAL SUPPORT | 7-34 |
| 7.7 | COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS OF ALTERNATIVES..... | 7-37 |
| 7.7.1 | Achievement of Goals | 7-37 |
| 7.7.2 | Evaluation against the Goals of the Study..... | 7-37 |
| 7.7.3 | Comparative Summary Evaluation of Alternatives | 7-44 |
| 8.0 | LOCALLY PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE SELECTION | 8-1 |
| 8.1 | SELECTION PROCESS | 8-1 |
| 8.2 | RECOMMENDATION ON A LOCALLY PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE | 8-3 |
| 8.3 | PREFERENCE FOR ALIGNMENT | 8-3 |
| 8.4 | PREFERENCE FOR MODE | 8-5 |
| 8.5 | A LONG-TERM TRANSIT VISION FOR THE CORRIDOR..... | 8-7 |
| 8.6 | FUNDING STRATEGIES | 8-7 |
| 8.7 | RECOMMENDATION | 8-8 |
| 9.0 | NEXT STEPS..... | 9-1 |
| 9.1 | ENVIRONMENTAL DOCUMENTATION..... | 9-1 |
| 9.2 | PRELIMINARY ENGINEERING AND REFINEMENT OF DESIGN OPTIONS..... | 9-2 |
| 9.3 | FUNDING COMMITMENTS..... | 9-2 |
| 9.4 | LPA IMPLEMENTATION ISSUES AND SCHEDULE | 9-2 |
| 10.0 | REFERENCES..... | 10-1 |
| 11.0 | CONTRIBUTORS | 11-1 |
| 12.0 | LIST OF ACRONYMS AND KEY DEFINITIONS..... | 12-1 |

APPENDIX A: SUMMARY OF ENVIRONMENTAL CONSEQUENCES

APPENDIX B: PROPOSED RECOMMENDATIONS FOR TRANSIT GUIDEWAY STATIONS WITH DETAILS ON FUNCTIONAL AMENITIES

APPENDIX C: REGIONAL TRANSIT ISSUE PAPER FOR SELECTING TRUXEL ROAD LIGHT RAIL TRANSIT AS THE LPA FOR THE DNA CORRIDOR